1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

NATALEE ANN RICHARDS,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C14-5823-MAT

ORDER

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

filing the motion. See Dkt. 32, 33.

Defendant.

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion to strike as moot Defendant's motion to remand and Plaintiff's motion to supplement the record. Dkt. 30. As a preliminary matter, the Court notes that although the Defendant styled this motion as an "unopposed" motion, defense counsel did not apparently receive a stipulation from Plaintiff's counsel before

The substance of the motion to strike addresses the unusual procedural circumstances of this case: the Defendant's motion to remand and Plaintiff's motion to supplement the record were filed at a time when the parties agreed the administrative record was not complete. At the time Defendant filed the motion to strike, Defendant apparently believed the missing evidence had been located and filed. Dkt. 30. Plaintiff's subsequent filings (Dkt. 32, 33, 35) indicate that

ORDER PAGE - 1 Thus, because the dispute related to the record has not been resolved by Defendant's

the newly filed evidence constitutes some of the missing evidence, but not all.

supplemental filing, the motion to strike (Dkt. 30) is DENIED. The Court will address the pending motion to remand (Dkt. 27) and motion to supplement the record (Dkt. 29) when they are ripe, but also directs the parties to confer regarding the status of the record in an attempt to resolve this issue as soon as possible.

DATED this 30th day of April, 2015.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER PAGE - 2